

### **STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Applicant appreciates Examiner Yoo's participation in a telephonic conference of December 10, 2008 with Applicant's attorney, Benjamin A. Keim. Applicant's attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for his time and consideration.

During the interview Applicant's attorney and the Examiner discussed the claimed subject matter of the application and the references of record. In particular, Applicant's attorney presented arguments along the lines of those set forth below in the section entitled "Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §102". Specifically, Applicant's attorney presented arguments as to how the subject matter in the reference fails to disclose features, such as registering a presence of a user account by a presence server inside a secure data center.

Also discussed during the interview were proposed amendments to the claims. In the interest of expediting prosecution of the application, and without conceding the propriety of the rejection, Applicant's attorney proposes to amend the claims to further clarify features of Applicant's claimed subject matter.

The Examiner indicates that the proposed amendment may overcome the § 102 rejection. Applicant's attorney submits the amendments in writing in this Response to the Office Action.

Applicant's attorney submits that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance.